arXiv:1405.0218v1 [math.AP] 1 May 2014

Global well-posedness and scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with algebraic nonlinearity when $d = 2, 3$, u_0 radial

Benjamin Dodson

May 2, 2014

Abstract: In this paper we discuss global well - posedness and scattering for some initial value problems that are L^2 supercritical and $\dot{H}^1$ subcritical, with radial data. We prove global well - posedness and scattering for radial data in H^s, $s > s_c$, where the problem is $\dot{H}^{s_c}$ - critical. We make use of the long time Strichartz estimates of [15] to do this.

1 Introduction

In this paper we examine the initial value problem

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta)u = |u|^2 u, \quad u(0,x) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3), \tag{1.1}$$

as well as the initial value problem

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta)u = |u|^{2k} u, \quad u(0,x) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^2), \tag{1.2}$$

and in each case u_0 is radial.

(1.1) is $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ - critical. That is, a solution to (1.1) gives rise to a family of solutions via the scaling,

$$u(t,x) \mapsto u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x). \tag{1.3}$$

Under this scaling,

$$\|u_\lambda(0,x)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \|u(0,x)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\|u_\lambda(0,x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \lambda^{1/2}\|u(0,x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|u_\lambda(0,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \lambda^{-1/2}\|u(0,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{1.6}$$

Meanwhile, (1.2) is $\dot{H}^{1-\frac{1}{k}}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ - critical, since a solution to (1.2) gives rise to a family of solutions under the mapping

$$u(t,x) \mapsto u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{k}}u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x). \tag{1.7}$$

This scaling is crucial to local well - posedness.

Theorem 1.1 (1.1) *is locally well - posed for any* $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$ *on some interval* $[-T,T]$, $T(\|u_0\|_{H^s}, s) > 0$. *If* $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ *then* (1.1) *is locally well - posed on some interval* $[-T,T]$, $T(u_0) > 0$, *where* $T(u_0)$ *depends on the profile of the initial data and not just its size. Moreover, for* $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}$ *small,* (1.1) *is globally well - posed and asymptotically complete.*

The corresponding results also hold for (1.2) *and the critical space* $\dot{H}^{1-\frac{1}{k}}(\mathbf{R}^2)$.

Proof: See [5], [7]. □

Remark: [8] and [9] proved that theorem 1.1 is sharp.

Definition 1.1 (Well - posedness) *The initial value problem* (1.1) *is well - posed on an open interval* $I \subset \mathbf{R}$, $0 \in I$, *for* $u_0 \in X$, X *is a function space, if*

1. (1.1) *has a unique solution on* $I \times \mathbf{R}^3$,
2. *The solution satisfies the Duhamel formula*

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(|u|^2u)(\tau)d\tau, \tag{1.8}$$

3. *For any compact* $J \subset I$, *the map* $u_0 \mapsto L^5_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ *is continuous.*

The definition for global well - posedness and asymptotic completeness for (1.2) *corresponds to* $(1)-(3)$ *above. Replace* $L^5_{t,x}$ *with* $L^{4k}_{t,x}$.

Definition 1.2 (Asymptotic completeness) *The initial value problem* (1.1) *is asymptotically complete if for any* $u_0 \in X$ *there exist* $u_\pm \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_+\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to-\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_-\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Remark: [22] proved that (1.1) is globally well - posed and asymptotically complete if and only if $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}$ is uniformly bounded on its interval of existence. To the author's knowledge there is no corresponding result for (1.2), $k > 1$.

(1.2) with $k = 1$ is now completely solved. [25] proved that (1.2) is globally well - posed and scattering for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$, u_0 radial. [16] extended this to nonradial data.

In this paper we show that (1.1) and (1.2) are globally well posed for $u_0 \in H^s$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$, and $s > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ respectively.

Theorem 1.2 *The initial value problem* 1.1 *is globally well - posed for any* $s > \frac{1}{2}$ *for* u_0 *radial. Moreover, the problem is asymptotically complete.*

Theorem 1.3 *The initial value problem* 1.2 *is globally well - posed and asymptotically complete for any* $s > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, u_0 *radial.*

The I - method is used to prove theorems 1.2 and 1.3. A solution to (1.1) conserves the quantities mass,

$$M(u(t)) = \int |u(t,x)|^2 dx = M(u(0)), \quad (1.10)$$

and energy,

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4}\int |u(t,x)|^4 dx. \quad (1.11)$$

A solution to (1.2) has the conserved energy

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2k+2}\int |u(t,x)|^{2k+2} dx. \quad (1.12)$$

(1.11), (1.12) combined with theorem 1.1 prove that (1.1) and (1.2) are globally well - posed. See [19], [29] for a proof of scattering in the radial case, [13], [11], [33] for a proof of scattering in the nonradial case for $u_0 \in H^1$.

The reason for the gap between the local well posedness result of theorem 1.1 and the regularity needed to prove a global result in [19] ($s = 1$) is due to an absence of a conserved quantity that controls $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ for $0 < s < 1$. It is true that the momentum, a $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ - critical quantity, is conserved, but this quantity does not control the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ norm.

The first progress in extending the global well - posedness results for data in H^1 to H^s, $s < 1$ came from the Fourier truncation method. [3] proved that the cubic nonlinear initial value problem is globally well - posed in two dimensions for data in H^s, $s > \frac{3}{5}$ when $d = 2$. In three dimensions [4] proved global well - posedness for $s > \frac{11}{13}$ and global well - posedness and scattering for $s > \frac{5}{7}$ for u_0 is radial. In fact, [3], [4] proved something more, namely that for s in the appropriate interval

$$u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^d). \tag{1.13}$$

It was precisely (1.13) that lead to the development of the I - method since (1.13) is false for many dispersive partial differential equations. See [24] for example. Instead, [12] defined an operator $I : H^s(\mathbf{R}^d) \to H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Tracking the change of $E(Iu(t))$, [12] proved global well - posedness for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 2$, $s > \frac{4}{7}$, and when $d = 3$, $s > \frac{5}{6}$. [13] extended the $d = 3$ result to $s > \frac{5}{6}$. [14] extended this to $s > \frac{5}{7}$, and then [39] extended this result to $s > \frac{2}{3}$.

Both [14] and [39] utilized the linear - nonlinear decomposition. See also [35] for this method in the context of the wave equation. Here we will use the long time Strichartz estimates of [15]. We show that for radial data, the long time Strichartz estimates decay rapidly, and thus can beat any polynomial power of N arising from the I - operator.

In §2 we will recall some linear estimates needed in the proof. In §3 we will describe the I - method and outline the proof of theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In §4 we will make an induction on frequency argument and prove long time Strichartz estimates for $d = 3$. In §5 we will prove the energy increment in $d = 3$, yielding theorem 1.2. Then in §6 we will make an induction on frequency argument and prove long - time Strichartz estimates for $d = 2$. In

§7 we will prove the energy increment in $d = 2$, yielding theorem 1.3.

At this point it is necessary to mention some notation used in the paper. The expression $A \lesssim_B D$ indicates $A \leq C(B)D$, where $C(B)$ is some constant. When we say $A \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}} B$ or $A \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s},k} B$ we mean that C depends on $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ and the value of s itself.

We will also use the notation $A \lesssim B^{a+}$. This means that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C(\epsilon)$ such that $A \leq C(\epsilon)B^{a+\epsilon}$. We will also use expressions like $\|u\|_{L^{p+}} \lesssim, \gtrsim A$, which means that $\|u\|_{L^{p+\epsilon}} \leq, \geq C(\epsilon)A$.

Throughout the paper it is unnecessary to distinguish between u and $\bar{u}$. Therefore, we will often write expressions like $|u|^2u$ as u^3 for convenience.

2 Linear estimates

2.1 Sobolev spaces

Definition 2.1 (Littlewood - Paley decomposition) *Take $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\psi = 0$ for $|x| > 2$, $\psi(x)$ is radial and decreasing. Then for any j let*

$$\phi_j(x) = \psi(2^{-j}x) - \psi(2^{-j+1}x). \tag{2.1}$$

Then let P_j be the Fourier multiplier given by

$$\widehat{P_j f}(\xi) = \phi_j(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi). \tag{2.2}$$

The Littlewood - Paley decomposition is quite useful since

Theorem 2.1 (Littlewood - Paley theorem) *For any $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)} \sim_{p,d} \|(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |P_j f|^2)^{1/2}\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.2 (Sobolev spaces) *For $s \in \mathbf{R}$ the Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the space of functions whose Fourier transform has finite weighted L^2 norm,*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} = \||\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x) dx. \tag{2.5}$$

We define the inhomogeneous space

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} = \|(1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2}\hat{f}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Notice that

$$\|P_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-js}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^d)}, \qquad \|P_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim \inf(2^{-js}, 1)\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark: (2.7) is called Bernstein's inequality.

It follows from Hölder's inequality that for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|P_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim_d 2^{jd(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|P_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$, $s = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$,

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim_{s,d} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.9}$$

We also have the radial Sobolev embedding

$$\||x|P_j f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|P_j f\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{2.10}$$

See [36], [37], [43], [44], and many other sources for more details on Sobolev spaces.

2.2 Strichartz estimates

Theorem 2.2 *Let $e^{it\Delta}$ be the solution operator to the linear evolution equation $(i\partial_t + \Delta)u =$. That is, $u = e^{it\Delta}u_0$ solves*

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta)u = 0, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0. \tag{2.11}$$

When $d = 3$ let

$$(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}_3 \qquad \Leftrightarrow 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \qquad \frac{2}{p} = 3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}). \tag{2.12}$$

When $d = 2$ let

$$(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow 2 < p \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let p' denote the Lebesgue dual, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then if $(p,q), (\tilde{p},\tilde{q}) \in \mathcal{A}_d$, $d = 2, 3$, in other words (p,q), $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$ are admissible pairs,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim_p \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \\ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(\tau) d\tau \|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I\times\mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim_{p,q,\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(I\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \\ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(\tau) d\tau \|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(I\times\mathbf{R}^3)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Proof: [38] proved this theorem in the case $p = q$, $\tilde{p} = \tilde{q}$. See [10], [20], and [46] for a proof of the general result, $p > 2$. [23] proved the endpoint result $p = 2$ when $d = 3$. [40] gives a nice description of the overall theory. □

It is convenient, especially in three dimensions, to work with the Strichartz space and the dual Strichartz space. Let

Definition 2.3 (Strichartz space) *Let S^0 be the Strichartz space*

$$S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^3) = L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbf{R}^3) \cap L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbf{R}^3). \tag{2.16}$$

Let N^0 be the dual

$$N^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^3) = L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbf{R}^3) + L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}(I \times \mathbf{R}^3). \tag{2.17}$$

Then theorem 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{S^0(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \\ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(\tau) d\tau \|_{S^0(I\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|F\|_{N^0(I\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We will also utilize the local smoothing estimate of [34]. ψ is the same ψ as in definition 2.1.

$$\|e^{it\Delta}(P_j u_0)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(I\times\{x:|x|\leq R\})} \lesssim 2^{-j/2} R^{1/2} \|P_j u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}, \tag{2.19}$$

as well as its dual,

$$\| \int_I e^{-it\Delta} \psi(\frac{x}{R})(P_j F(\tau))\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-j/2} R^{1/2} \|\psi(\frac{x}{R}) P_j F\|_{L^2_{t,x}(I\times\mathbf{R}^d)}. \quad (2.20)$$

2.3 U^2_Δ and V^2_Δ spaces

We will also make use of a function space adapted to the long time Strichartz estimates. This is a class of function spaces first introduced in [42] to study wave maps. [27], [28] applied these spaces to nonlinear Schrödinger problems. See [21] for a general description of these spaces. These spaces are quite useful to critical problems since the $X^{s,b}$ spaces of [1], [2] (see also [18]) are not scale invariant except at $b = \frac{1}{2}$, which has the same difficulty as the failure of the embedding $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Since we take $u_0 \in H^s$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$ they will prove to be useful here as well.

Definition 2.4 (U^p_Δ spaces) *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Let U^p_Δ be an atomic space whose atoms are piecewise solutions to the linear equation,*

$$u_\lambda = \sum_k 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})} e^{it\Delta} u_k, \qquad \sum_k \|u_k\|^p_{L^2} = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Then for any $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$\|u\|_{U^p_\Delta} = \inf\{\sum_\lambda |c_\lambda| : u = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda u_\lambda, u_\lambda \text{ are } U^p_\Delta \text{ atoms}\}. \quad (2.22)$$

For any $1 \le p < \infty$, $U^p_\Delta \subset L^\infty L^2$. Additionally, U^p_Δ functions are continuous except at countably many points and right continuous everywhere.

Definition 2.5 (V^p_Δ spaces) *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Then V^p_Δ is the space of right continuous functions $u \in L^\infty(L^2)$ such that*

$$\|v\|^p_{V^p_\Delta} = \|v\|^p_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \sup_{\{t_k\}\nearrow} \sum_k \|e^{-it_k\Delta} v(t_k) - e^{-it_{k+1}\Delta} v(t_{k+1})\|^p_{L^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

The supremum is taken over increasing sequences t_k.

Theorem 2.3 *The function spaces U^p_Δ and V^q_Δ obey the embeddings*

$$U^p_\Delta \subset V^p_\Delta \subset U^q_\Delta \subset L^\infty(L^2), \quad p < q. \quad (2.24)$$

Let DU^p_Δ be the space of functions

$$DU^p_\Delta = \{(i\partial_t + \Delta)u; u \in U^p_\Delta\}. \tag{2.25}$$

By Duhamel's formula

$$\|u\|_{U^p_\Delta} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L^2} + \|(i\partial_t + \partial_x^2)u\|_{DU^p_\Delta}. \tag{2.26}$$

Finally, there is the duality relation

$$(DU^p_\Delta)^* = V^{p'}_\Delta. \tag{2.27}$$

These spaces are also closed under truncation in time.

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_I : U^p_\Delta \to U^p_\Delta, \\ \chi_I : V^p_\Delta \to V^p_\Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Proof: See [21]. □

3 Description of the I - method and outline of the proof

Since there are no known conserved quantities that control $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ for $0 < s < 1$, we utilize the by now well known modified energy of [12].

Definition 3.1 (I - operator) *Let $I : H^s(\mathbf{R}^d) \to H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the Fourier multiplier*

$$\widehat{If}(\xi) = m_N(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$m_N(\xi) = 1, \text{ when } |\xi| \leq N, \quad m_N(\xi) = \frac{N^{1-s}}{|\xi|^{1-s}}, \text{ when } |\xi| \geq 2N. \tag{3.2}$$

We suppress the N for the rest of the paper. There is an obvious tradeoff here. Taking $N = \infty$, we see that $\frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t)) = 0$, however, for $s < 1$, $E(Iu(0)) = \infty$. Moreover, as N increases $\frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t))$ decreases and $E(Iu(t))$ increases. Therefore, the question of global well - posedness centers on which side will win this tug of war. More precisely, by Sobolev embedding, when $d = 3$,

$$E(Iu(t)) \lesssim \|Iu\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|Iu\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u\|^2_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{3.3}$$

When $d = 2$,

$$E(Iu(t)) \lesssim \|Iu\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|Iu\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|^{2k}_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{1}{k}}(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,

$$E(Iu(0)) \lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s}} N^{2(1-s)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim E(Iu(t)) + M(Iu(t)). \tag{3.6}$$

Since $M(Iu(t)) \leq M(u(t)) = M(u(0))$, a uniform bound on $E(Iu(t))$ for all t yields a uniform bound on $\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}$. Now rescale. Choose λ in (1.3), (1.7) satisfying $\lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}} N^{s-1}$, respectively $\lambda^{s-1+\frac{1}{k}} \sim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}} N^{s-1}$ such that

$$E(Iu_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then by (1.3), (1.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}} N^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}} \|u(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \\ \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}} N^{\frac{k-1}{k} \cdot \frac{1-s}{s-1+\frac{1}{k}}} \|u(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We suppress λ for the rest of the paper. Next recall the interaction Morawetz estimate.

Theorem 3.1 (Interaction Morawetz estimate) *Suppose u is a solution to* (1.1), (1.2), *on some interval J. Then*

$$\||\nabla|^{\frac{3-d}{2}} |u|^2\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \|u\|^2_{L^\infty_t \dot{H}^{1/2}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof: This was proved in three dimensions by [13]. [33] and [11] independently proved (3.10) in dimensions one and two. [41] proved the interaction Morawetz estimate in dimensions $d \geq 4$, a result that will not be needed here. □

(3.10) is extremely useful due to a local well - posedness result of [13].

Lemma 3.2 *If* $E(Iu(a_l)) \leq 1$, $J_l = [a_l, b_l]$, $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$ *for some* $\epsilon > 0$ *sufficiently small, then*

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof: See [13] or [14]. □

We will need a similar result in dimension two.

Lemma 3.3 *If* $E(Iu(a_l)) \leq 1$, $J_l = [a_l, b_l]$, $\||\nabla|^{1/2}|u|^2\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon$ *for some* $\epsilon(k) > 0$ *sufficiently small, then for* $(p, q) \in \mathcal{A}_2$,

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{L^p_t L^q_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_k 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof: By Sobolev embedding

$$\|u\|_{L^4_t L^8_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}|u|^2\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon. \tag{3.12}$$

Interpolating (3.12) with $\|P_j u\|_{L^\infty_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^j \|P_j u\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}$, combined with theorem 2.1 proves

$$\|Iu\|_{L^{3k}_t L^{6k}_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{4}{3k}} \|\nabla Iu\|^{1-\frac{4}{3k}}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{3.13}$$

By Bernstein's inequality and (3.2),

$$\|(1-I)u\|_{L^{3k}_t L^{6k}_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{k}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^{3k}_t L^{\frac{6k}{3k-2}}_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Then by theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^{3k}_t L^{\frac{6k}{3k-2}}_x \cap L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim \|\nabla Iu(a_l)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &(\epsilon^{\frac{4}{3k}} \|\nabla Iu\|^{\frac{3k-4}{3k}}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} + N^{-\frac{1}{k}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^{3k}_t L^{\frac{6k}{3k-2}}_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{2k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Since N is large and $\epsilon > 0$ is small the proof is complete. □

(3.15) also implies

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{U^2_\Delta(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_k 1. \tag{3.16}$$

We also have

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{U^2_\Delta(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are then proved by a bootstrapping estimate. Let

$$J = \{t : E(Iu(t)) \leq 1\}. \tag{3.18}$$

Assume that J is an interval. J is clearly nonempty since $0 \in J$. Moreover, J is closed by standard local well - posedness theory. Therefore, to prove $J = [0, \infty)$ it suffices to show that J is open. By (3.2), interpolation, and Bernstein's inequality,

$$\|P_{\leq N}u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim \|Iu(t)\|^{1/2}_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)}\|P_{\leq N}u(t)\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\|P_{>N}u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim N^{-1/2}\|Iu\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore if J is an interval such that $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ on J, then (3.8), (3.10), (3.19), (3.20), and the conservation of mass imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^4_{L^4_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}}, \\ \|u\|^4_{L^4_t L^8_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)},k} N^{\frac{k-1}{k}\cdot\frac{3(1-s)}{s-1+\frac{1}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

When $d = 3$ partition J into $\sim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s}} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}}$ subintervals such that on each subinterval J_l,

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon. \tag{3.22}$$

When $d = 2$ partition J into $\sim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s},k} N^{\frac{k-1}{k}\cdot\frac{3(1-s)}{s-1+\frac{1}{k}}}$ subintervals such that

$$\|u\|_{L^4_t L^8_x(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon. \tag{3.23}$$

Then we show that for $N(d, k, \|u(0)\|_{H^s})$ sufficiently large,

$$\int_J \frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t))dt \leq \frac{1}{10}. \tag{3.24}$$

To do this we use long term Strichartz estimates. [15] utilized the long - time Strichartz estimates within the context of the mass - critical nonlinear Schrödinger initial value problem. The long time Strichartz estimates have

been utilized in subsequent papers ([16], [17], [26], [30], [31], [32], [45]). By (3.24) and theorem 1.1, for any $T > 0$ there exists $\delta(T) > 0$ such that if $[0, T] \subset J$, $[0, T + \delta) \subset J$. Therefore J is open and the proof is complete. Finally, we can recover the $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ bound by rescaling back and then computing the $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ norm from the bounds on $M(u(t))$ and $E(Iu(t))$ after rescaling.

4 Induction on frequency and long time Strichartz estimates in three dimensions

Take $1 \leq M \leq N$. As in [15] we make an induction on energy argument. Notice that, for $P_{>M} = \sum_{2^j > M} P_j$,

$$P_{>M}(|u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}|^2 u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}) \equiv 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Remark: This is why this method does not immediately carry over to a non - algebraic nonlinearity, $p \neq 2k$ for some positive integer k. By Duhamel's principle, and $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ on J,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla I P_{>M} u(t)\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|\nabla I P_{>M} u(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|\nabla I P_{>M}(|u|^2 u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim 1 + \|\nabla I P_{>M}(|u|^2 u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Recalling theorem 2.3, $V^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^3) \subset U^q_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ for any $q > 2$. Combining this with $(DU^2_\Delta)^* = V^2_\Delta$ implies that $L^{p'}_t L^{q'}_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3) \subset DU^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ for any $p > 2$, where (p, q) is an admissible pair. Namely,

$$\|\nabla I((P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u)^2 u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla I P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{L^2_t L^{6/5}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|Iu\|_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{6+}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \tag{4.4}$$

$$+ \|\nabla I P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{L^2_t L^{6/5}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^3_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{>N} u\|_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{3+}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \tag{4.5}$$

$$+ \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{2+}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|^2_{L^4_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.6}$$

Interpolating (3.16) and (3.21) with $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ on J, combined with Bernstein's inequality implies

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{6+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}(\mathbf{R}^3)} N^+, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\|P_{>N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{3+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{-1/2+}. \tag{4.8}$$

Interpolation, Sobolev embedding, Bernstein's inequality, $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$, and (3.2) imply that

$$\|P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{L_t^4L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|^{1/2}_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\||\nabla|^{1/2}P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|^{1/2}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\lesssim M^{-1/2}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|^{1/2}_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6) imply that

$$(4.3) \lesssim_{s,\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}} M^{-1}N^+\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.11}$$

It only remains to analyze

$$\|\nabla I(P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u)^2\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Interpolating (2.14) and (2.19), for any $q > 2$

$$\||\nabla|^{1/q}e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_t^qL_x^2(\mathbf{R}\times\{|x|\leq R\}} \lesssim R^{1/q}\|u_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.13}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $\chi \equiv 1$ on $|x| \leq 1$, χ supported on $|x| \leq 2$. Take $\|v\|_{V^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} = 1$, $\hat{v}(\xi)$ supported on $|\xi| \geq M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_J \langle v, \chi^2\nabla I((P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u)^2)\rangle dt \\ \lesssim \|\chi v\|_{L_t^qL_x^2(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|\chi\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|Iu\|^2_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \\ \lesssim N^+M^{-1}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now take the cutoff supported on the annulus $|x| \sim 2^j$, $\psi_j(x) = \chi^2(2^{-j}x) - \chi^2(2^{-j+1}x)$, where $j \geq 0$. Here we use the radial symmetry of the solution. Combining radial Sobolev embedding with the standard Sobolev estimate and Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\||x||u|^2\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1\leq N_2} \||x|P_{N_2}u\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{N_1\leq N_2} (\frac{N_1}{N_2})^{1/2}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)}\|P_{N_2}u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Interpolating this with

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^4L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}(\mathbf{R}^3)} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{4s-2}}, \tag{4.16}$$

which is a consequence of (3.16) and Strichartz estimates implies that

$$\||x|^{1/2-}Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}(\mathbf{R}^3)} N^+. \tag{4.17}$$

Combining (4.13), (4.17), $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$, and $\hat{v}(t,\xi)$ is supported on $|\xi| \geq M$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_J \langle v, \psi_j(x)\nabla I((P_{>\frac{M}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u)^2)\rangle dt\\ \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}(\mathbf{R}^3)}& M^{-1}N^+(2^j)^+\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Finally, $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ combined with $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim N^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_J \langle v, (1-\chi(2^{-j}x))(\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u)^2\rangle dt\\ \lesssim& \|v\|_{L_t^4L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|\nabla P_{>\frac{M}{8}}Iu\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\\ &\times\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^{1/2}\|(1-\chi(2^{-j}x))Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-j/2}N^{\frac{1-s}{s-1/2}}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{2}}\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Combining (4.11), (4.14), (4.18), and (4.19), for $j \sim \ln(N)$ sufficiently large,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>M}(|u|^2u)\|_{DU_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}} M^{-1+}N^+\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.20}$$

We have the base case (3.16). Starting the induction at $C(s,\|u_0\|_{H^s})N^{1/2}$ for $C(s,\|u_0\|_{H^s})$ sufficiently large,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{100}}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s},s} 1 + N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{4s-2}}N^{-\frac{c}{3}\ln(N)} + 1, \tag{4.21}$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Remark: We could replace $\frac{c}{3}$ with $\frac{c}{q}$ for any $q > 2$. Therefore, choosing N sufficiently large, say $\ln(N) = \frac{18(1-s)}{4s-2}\ln(8) + \ln(C(s, \|u_0\|_{H^s}))$,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{100}} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}(\mathbf{R}^3)} 1. \tag{4.22}$$

5 Energy Increment in three dimensions

Now we show a bound on the modified energy increment.

Lemma 5.1 *For* $\ln(N) = \frac{18(1-s)}{4s-2}\ln(8) + \ln(C(s, \|u_0\|_{H^s}))$,

$$\int_J |\frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t))|dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof: (1.1) implies

$$iIu_t + \Delta Iu = |Iu|^2(Iu) + I(|u|^2u) - |Iu|^2(Iu). \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t)) = \langle Iu_t, |Iu|^2(Iu) - I(|u|^2u)\rangle. \tag{5.3}$$

By (5.2) and integrating by parts,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(Iu(t)) = -\langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((Iu)^3 - I(u^3))\rangle - \langle iI(u^3), ((Iu)^3 - I(u^3))\rangle. \tag{5.4}$$

We start with $\langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((Iu)^3 - I(u^3))\rangle$.

$$(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^3 - I((P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^3) \equiv 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}&(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2)\\ &= (IP_{>\frac{N}{2}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 - I((P_{>\frac{N}{2}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2).\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$|m(\xi_2+\xi_3+\xi_4) - m(\xi_2)| \lesssim \frac{|\xi_3+\xi_4|}{|\xi_2|}. \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover,

$$I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2) - (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 \tag{5.8}$$

has Fourier transform supported on $|\xi| \geq \frac{N}{8}$. By (5.7),

$$-\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2))\rangle dt \tag{5.9}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|^2_{L^2_tL^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|\nabla Iu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|Iu\|_{L^\infty_tL^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{5.10}$$

Next, since $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$,

$$\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)))\rangle dt \tag{5.11}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^2_tL^6_x}\|IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^2_tL^6_x}\|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^\infty_tL^6_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{5.12}$$

Finally,

$$\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3 - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3))\rangle dt \tag{5.13}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^2_tL^6_x}\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|^2_{L^4_tL^6_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{5.14}$$

This takes care of the first term in (5.4). Now we consider the term

$$\int_J \langle I(u^3), I(u^3) - (Iu)^3\rangle dt. \tag{5.15}$$

(5.5), (5.6) imply that this six - linear term must have at least two $P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u$ terms. By Sobolev embedding and Bernstein's inequality and (3.2),

$$\|I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3)\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^2_tL^6_x}\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|^2_{L^\infty_tL^3_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{5.16}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_J \langle I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3), I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3) + (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3\rangle dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}. \tag{5.17}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_J \langle I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3), (P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)\rangle dt \\ &\lesssim \|I(P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^3\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^2_t L^6_x} \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^\infty_t L^3_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Finally,

$$\int_J \int (P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 (P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2 u^2 dxdt \tag{5.19}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|^2_{L^2_t L^6_x} \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|^4_{L^\infty_t L^6_x} + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|^4_{L^4_t L^6_x} \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}. \tag{5.20}$$

This proves lemma 5.1. □

Rescaling back, we have proved

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \|u(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} + N^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}} \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{5.22}$$

Therefore, by (4.21),

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim C(s, \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}) \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{5.23}$$

where C behaves like $e^{C_1 \frac{1-s}{2s-1}}$ for some constant C_1 as $s \searrow \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of theorem 1.2 since (5.23) gives a bound on $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}$ by theorem 3.1. Interpolating this with the uniform bound on $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ implies a bound on $L^p_t L^q_x$, where (p, q) is a $\frac{1}{2}$ - admissible pair, that is $\frac{2}{p} = 3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6})$. Since $s > \frac{1}{2}$, $p < \infty$. Partitioning $\mathbf{R}$ into finitely many pieces with $\|u\|_{L^p_t L^q_x(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^3)} < \epsilon$ and making a perturbation argument, $\|u\|_{L^5_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^3)} < \infty$, which implies scattering. □

6 Induction on frequency in two dimensions

We turn now to the two dimensional problem (1.2), $k > 1$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case the critical space is $\dot{H}^{s_c}$, $s_c = \frac{k-1}{k}$. Once again take the I operator as defined in (3.2). Then,

$$E(Iu(0)) \lesssim_{k, \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}} N^{2(1-s)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Rescale with $\lambda \sim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}, k} N^{\frac{1-s}{s-s_c}}$ so that $E(Iu(0)) = \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose J is an interval with $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ for all $t \in J$. Recalling (3.21),

$$\|u\|^4_{L^4_t L^8_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}|u|^2\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}} \lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}, k} N^{s_c \cdot \frac{3(1-s)}{s-s_c}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Then, by lemma 3.3,

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{U^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_{\|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}, k} N^{s_c \frac{3(1-s)}{2(s-s_c)}}. \tag{6.3}$$

Once again make an induction on frequency argument.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla IP_{>M} u(t)\|_{U^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>M} u(0)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|\nabla IP_{>M}(|u|^{2k}u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim 1 + \|\nabla IP_{>M}(|u|^{2k}u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Since the nonlinearity is algebraic there exists $c(k)$ such that

$$P_{>M}(|u_{\leq c(k)M}|^{2k} u_{\leq c(k)M}) \equiv 0. \tag{6.5}$$

$$\|\nabla I((P_{>c(k)M} u)^2 u^{2k-1})\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{6.6}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)M} u\|_{L^{2+}_t L^{\infty-}_x} \|P_{>c(k)M} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^{2+}_x} \|Iu\|^{k-2}_{L^{\infty-}_t L^\infty_x} \|Iu\|^{k+1}_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{2k+2}_x} \tag{6.7}$$

$$+ \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)M} u\|_{L^{2+}_t L^{\infty-}_x} \|P_{>c(k)M} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^{2k}_x} \|P_{>N} u\|^{2k-1}_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{2k+}_x} \tag{6.8}$$

$$+ \|\nabla Iu\|_{L^{\infty-}_t L^{2+}_x} \|P_{>c(k)M} u\|^2_{L^4_t L^{2k}_x} \|P_{>N} u\|^{2k-1}_{L^\infty_t L^{2k}_x} \tag{6.9}$$

$$+ \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2+}} \|P_{>c(k)M}u\|_{L_{t,x}^4}^2 \|Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^\infty}^{2k-1}. \tag{6.10}$$

Making an argument almost identical to the estimates when $d = 3$,

$$(6.6) \lesssim_{k,\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}} M^{-1+}N^+ \|\nabla I P_{>c(k)M}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{6.11}$$

Then we can make an induction argument provided we have a good estimate on

$$\|\nabla I(P_{>c(k)M}u)(P_{\leq c(k)M}u)^{2k}\|_{DU_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{6.12}$$

We once again utilize the local smoothing estimate

$$\||\nabla|^{1/q}e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_t^qL_x^2(\mathbf{R}\times\{|x|\leq R\})} \lesssim R^{1/q}\|u_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{6.13}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\chi \equiv 1$ on $|x| \leq 1$, χ supported on $|x| \leq 2$. Take $\|v\|_{V_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$, $\hat{v}(\xi)$ supported on $|\xi| \geq M$. Then when $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_J \langle v, \chi^2\nabla I((P_{>c(k)M}u)(P_{\leq c(k)M}u)^{2k})\rangle dt \\ \lesssim \|\chi v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} &\|\chi\nabla I P_{>c(k)M}\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{4k+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{2k} \\ &\lesssim N^+M^{-1/2}\|\nabla I P_{>c(k)M}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Now take the cutoff supported on the annulus $|x| \sim 2^j$, $\psi_j(x) = \chi^2(2^{-j}x) - \chi^2(2^{-j+1}x)$, where $j \geq 0$. When $k = 2$,

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}(Iu)^2\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}Iu\|_{L_x^3(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|_{L_x^6(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim E(Iu)^{2/3} \leq 1. \tag{6.15}$$

When $k \geq 3$,

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}(Iu)^k\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}Iu\|_{L_x^3(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|_{L_x^{6(k-1)}(\mathbf{R}^2)}^{k-1} \lesssim 1. \tag{6.16}$$

The last inequality follows by interpolating $\|Iu\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ with $\|Iu\|_{L_x^{2k+2}} \leq E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$. Taking $\|v\|_{V_\Delta^2} = 1$ supported on $|\xi| > M$, combined with (3.21),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_J \langle v, \psi_j(\nabla IP_{>c(k)M}u)(Iu)^{2k}\rangle dt \\ \lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^2(J\times|x|\sim 2^j)}\|\psi_j(Iu)^{2k}\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^\infty}\|\nabla IP_{>c(k)M}u\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times|x|\sim 2^j)} \\ \lesssim 2^{j(0-)}N^+M^{-1+}\|\nabla IP_{>c(k)M}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Since u also has finite mass, see (3.8), by (6.15), (6.16),

$$\||x|^{1/2}(Iu)^k\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \||x|^{1/2}P_{>N^\alpha}(Iu)^k\|_{L_x^\infty} + \||x|^{1/2}P_{\leq N^\alpha}(Iu)^k\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \ln(N)+1. \tag{6.18}$$

Next, for each $J_l \subset J$, by (3.8), Strichartz estimates, and a computation similar to (6.15) and (6.16).

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}(Iu)^k\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim N^+. \tag{6.19}$$

Since there is a bound on the number of $J_l \subset J$, (3.21), we have

$$\|\nabla IP_{>M}(|u|^{2k}u)\|_{DU^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)},k} M^{-1+}N^+\|\nabla IP_{>c(k)M}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{6.20}$$

This time we starting the induction at $C(s,\|u_0\|_{H^s},k)N^{3/4}$ for $C(s,\|u_0\|_{H^s},k)$ sufficiently large,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{100}}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s},s,k} 1 + N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{4(s-s_c)}s_c}N^{-\frac{c}{6}\ln(N)} + 1, \tag{6.21}$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Remark: We could replace $\frac{c}{6}$ with $\frac{c}{q}$ for any $q > 4$. Therefore, choosing N sufficiently large,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{100}}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s},k} 1. \tag{6.22}$$

7 Energy Increment

To complete the proof of theorem 1.3 it remains to prove

Lemma 7.1 *If J is an interval with $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ on J,*

$$\int_J |\frac{d}{dt} E(Iu(t))| dt \lesssim_k \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{7.1}$$

Proof: We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(Iu(t)) = \langle Iu_t, |Iu|^{2k}(Iu) - I(|u|^{2k}u)\rangle \\ -\langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((Iu)^{2k+1} - I(u^{2k+1}))\rangle - \langle iI(u^{2k+1}), ((Iu)^{2k+1} - I(u^{2k+1}))\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Once again,

$$(IP_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k+1} - I((P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}) \equiv 0. \tag{7.3}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (IP_{>c(k)N}u)(IP_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k} - I((P_{>c(k)N}u)(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k}) \\ = (IP_{>\frac{N}{2}}u)(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k} - I((P_{>\frac{N}{2}}u)(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

As before by (5.7),

$$-\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>c(k)N}u)(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k} - I((P_{>c(k)N}u)(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k}))\rangle dt \tag{7.5}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|^2_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|Iu\|^{2k-1}_{L_t^\infty L_x^{(4k-2)}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{7.6}$$

The estimate $\|Iu\|_{L_x^{4k-2}} \lesssim 1$ follows from interpolating $\|Iu\|_{\dot{H}^1} \lesssim 1$ with $\|Iu\|_{L_x^{2k+2}} \lesssim 1$, along with the fact that $k > 1$. We also use (6.22) to estimate $\|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$ along with lemma 3.3 and (3.21).

Next, since $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$,

$$\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>c(k)N}u)^2(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k-1} - I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^2(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)^{2k-1}))\rangle dt \tag{7.7}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2+}} \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}} \|IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}} \|P_{\leq c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{4k-2}}^{2k-1} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{7.8}$$

Finally, we skip ahead to

$$\int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1} - I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}))\rangle dt \tag{7.9}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2+}} \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}} \|P_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_{t,x}^{4k}}^{2k} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{7.10}$$

Remark: The other terms can be handled in a similar manner.

Remark: $L_{t,x}^{4k}$ is $\dot{H}^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$ - critical. Interpolation shows that

$$\|P_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_{t,x}^{4k}}^{2k} \lesssim E(Iu(t))^{1/2\cdot(2k-1)-} \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}}^{1+}. \tag{7.11}$$

Then by (6.22), $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$, we are done with the first term in (7.2). Now we consider the term

$$\int_J \langle I(u^{2k+1}), I(u^{2k+1}) - (Iu)^{2k+1}\rangle dt. \tag{7.12}$$

Once again this term must have at least two $P_{>c(k)N}u$ terms. By Sobolev embedding, Bernstein's inequality, and (6.22),

$$\|I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1})\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}} \|P_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{2k+}}^{2k} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{7.13}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_J \langle I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}), I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}) - (IP_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}\rangle dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}. \tag{7.14}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_J \langle I((P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}), (P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k}(P_{\leq c(k)N}u)\rangle dt \\ &\lesssim \|I(P_{>c(k)N}u)^{2k+1}\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \|P_{>c(k)N}u\|_{L_{t,x}^{4k}}^{2k} \|P_{\leq c(k)N}u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_J \int (P_{>c(k)N} u)^2 (P_{\leq c(k)N} u)^2 u^{4k-2} dxdt \\ \lesssim &\int_J \int (P_{>c(k)N} u)^{4k+2} dxdt + \int_J \int (P_{>c(k)N} u)^2 (P_{\leq c(k)N} u)^{4k} dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

Interpolating the L_x^{2k+2} and $\dot{H}^1$ norms, since $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$,

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{4k}} \lesssim 1. \tag{7.17}$$

This proves lemma 7.1. $\square$

Rescaling back, we have proved

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = \|u(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{7.18}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + N^{s_c \cdot \frac{1-s}{s-s_c}} \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{7.19}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}, k) \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{7.20}$$

where C behaves like $e^{C_1 \frac{1-s}{2s-1}}$ for some constant C_1.

References

[1] J. Bourgain, "Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations", *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **3** no. 2 (1993) 107 – 156.

[2] J. Bourgain, "Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV-equation", *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **3** no. 3 (1993) 209 – 262.

[3] J. Bourgain, "Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity", *International Mathematical Research Notices*, **5** (1998) 253 – 283.

[4] J. Bourgain, "Scattering in the energy space and below for 3D NLS", *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, **75** (1998) 267 – 297.

[5] T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, "The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^1", *Manuscripta Math.*, **61** (1988) 477 – 494.

[6] T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, *Some remarks on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the subcritical case (New methods and results in nonlinear field equations (Bielefeld, 1987))*, Lecture Notes in Physics **347**, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[7] T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, "The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s", *Nonlinear Anal.*, **14** (1990) 807 – 836.

[8] M. Christ, J. Colliander, and T. Tao, "A priori bounds and weak solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in Sobolev spaces of negative order", *Journal of Functional Analysis* **254** no. 2 (2008) 368 – 395.

[9] M. Christ, J. Colliander, and T. Tao, "Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill - posedness for canonical defocusing equations", *American Journal of Mathematics* **125** no. 6 (2003) 1235 – 1293.

[10] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, "Maximal functions associated to filtrations", *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **179** no. 2 (2001) 409 – 425.

[11] J. Colliander, M. Grillakis, and N. Tzirakis. "Tensor products and correlation estimates with applications to nonlinear Schrödinger equations", *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **62** no. 7 (2009) 920 – 968.

[12] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, "Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation", *Mathematical Research Letters*, **9** no. 5 - 6 (2002) 659 – 682.

[13] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, "Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^3$", *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **21** (2004) 987 - 1014.

[14] B. Dodson, "Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $n = 3$ via a linear-nonlinear decomposition", *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series A*, **33** no. 5 (2013) 1905 – 1926.

[15] B. Dodson, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing L^2 - critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d \geq 3$", *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, **25** no. 2 (2012) 429 – 463.

[16] B. Dodson, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing L^2 - critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 2$", preprint, *arXiv:1006.1375v2*,

[17] B. Dodson, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing L^2 - critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 1$", preprint, *arXiv:1010.0040v2*,

[18] J. Ginibre, "Le problème de Cauchy pour des EDP semi-linéaires périodiques en variables d'espace (d'après Bourgain)" (Seminaire Bourbaki 1994/1995), *Astérisque*, **237** (1996) Exp. No. 796, 163 – 187.

[19] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, "Scattering theory in the energy space for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations", *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Neuvième Série*, **64** no. 4 (1985) 363 – 401.

[20] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, "Smoothing properties and retarded estimates for some dispersive evolution equations", *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **144** no. 1 (1992) 163 – 188.

[21] M. Hadac and S. Herr and H. Koch, "Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space", *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **26** no. 3 (2009) 917 – 941.

[22] C. Kenig and F. Merle, "Scattering for $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions", *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **362** no. 4 (2010) 1937 – 1962.

[23] M. Keel and T. Tao, "Endpoint Strichartz Estimates" *American Journal of Mathematics* **120** no. 4 - 6 (1998) 945 – 957.

[24] M. Keel and T. Tao "Local and global well posedness of wave maps on $\mathbf{R}^{1+1}$ for rough data", *International Mathematics Research Notices* **21** (1998) 1117 – 1156.

[25] R. Killip, T. Tao, and M. Visan, "The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in two dimensions with radial data", *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* **11** no. 6 (2009) 1203 – 1258.

[26] R. Killip and M. Visan, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing quintic NLS in three dimensions", *Analysis and PDE* **5** no. 4 (2012) 855 – 885.

[27] H. Koch and D. Tataru, "A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces", *International Mathematics Research Notices IMRN* **16** (2007) Art. ID rnm053, 36 pp.

[28] H. Koch and D. Tataru, "Energy and local energy bounds for the 1-D cubic NLS equation in $H^{-1/4}$", *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire* **29** no. 6 (2012) 955 – 988.

[29] J. Lin and W. Strauss, "Decay and Scattering of solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation", *Journal of Functional Analysis* **30** no. 2 (1978) 245 – 263.

[30] J. Murphy, "Inter - critical NLS: critical $\dot{H}^s$ bounds imply scattering", preprint *arxiv 1209.4582*.

[31] J. Murphy, "The defocusing $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ - critical NLS in high dimensions", *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series A* **34** no. 2 (2014) 733 – 748.

[32] J. Murphy, "The radial, defocusing, nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three dimensions", *arXiv:1401.4766*.

[33] F. Planchon and L. Vega, "Bilinear virial identities and applications" *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure Quatrième Série* **42** no. 2 (2009) 261 - 290.

[34] A. Ruiz and L. Vega, "On local regularity of Schrödinger equations", *International Mathematics Research Notices IMRN* **1** (1993) 13–27.

[35] T. Roy, "Adapted linear - nonlinear decomposition and global well - posedness for solutions to the defocusing wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^3$", *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series A* **24** no. 4 (2009) 1307 – 1323.

[36] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

[37] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[38] R. S. Strichartz, "Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations", *Duke Mathematical Journal* **44** no. 3 (1977) 705 - 714.

[39] Q. Su, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic NLS in $\mathbf{R}^3$", Mathematical Research Letters, **19** (2012), 431 - 451.

[40] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations. Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **104** Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2006.

[41] T. Tao, M. Visan, and X. Zhang. "The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities", *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **32** no. 7-9 (2007) 1281–1343.

[42] D. Tataru, "Local and global results for wave maps I", *Communications in PDE*, **23** no. 9 - 10 (1998) 1781 - 1793.

[43] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.

[44] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations I - III*, Second Edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences **115** Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.

[45] M. Visan, "Global well - posedness and scattering for the defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in four dimensions", *International Mathematics Research Notices. IMRN* **5** (2012) 1037 – 1067.

[46] K. Yajima, "Existence of solutions for Schrödinger evolution equations", *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **110** no. 3 (1987) 415 - 426.